





A SYMRISE SCREENING SERVICE

symrise (***

Effect of Blue light on ex vivo human skin. **Evaluation of the activity of L-Carnosine.**



Massironi Michele¹, Girardi Cristina¹, Stuhlmann Dominik²

¹Symrise Srl, Padova, Italy ² Symrise AG, Holzminden, Germany

Introduction:

Results & Discussion:

Blue light induces oxidative stress and stimulates melanogenesis

> Both doses of BL significantly induced oxidative stress in light and intermediate skin (donor 1 and 2), whereas in



- the tanned phototype (donor 3) only 120 J/cm² of BL significantly increased the level of ROS (data not shown).
- > A significant increase of Melan-A positive cells was observed with both irradiation doses in intermediate skin (donor 2), whereas in light and tanned phototypes (donor 1 and 3 respectively) a significant increase of Melan-A positive cells was found only after 120 J/cm² of BL (data not shown).



L-Carnosine significantly reduces ROS

- > Results showed a significant decrease of ROS production in all skin phototypes treated with L-Carnosine.
- \succ We found an average ROS modulation of -60% and -47% after 80 J/cm² and of -65% and -60% after 120 J/cm² in samples treated with 0.2% and 2.2% of L-Carnosine.
- > The positive antioxidant control (VIT-E) confirmed the anti-oxidant effect of L-Carnosine in our model.

Fig. 1. Decrease of ROS production in L-Carnosine treated samples. ROS production was assessed by DCFH-DA assay; fluorescence was evaluated in the upper dermis. A) Representative images. B) Graph showing ROS level (mean score) in treated and control samples. Error bars

Materials & Methods:



- > ROS evaluation (day1): Dichlorofluoresceine diacetate (DCFH-DA) method. Before irradiation, skin samples were treated overnight with vehicle or test compounds (L-Carnosine). The amount of ROS was evaluated semi-quantitatively measuring the fluorescent signal in the dermal part of the samples by image analysis.
- > Skin pigmentation (day3): Immuno-staining with Melan-A antibody. The full length of the tissue samples was evaluated for the presence of Melan-A positive cells and their number was normalized on the basal lamina length.

L-Carnosine BLUE LIGHT [J/cm²]

L-Carnosine 2.2% 0.2% Vehicle LIGHT 80 BLUE و 20 آق DONOR_1 (light) DONOR_2 (interm.) 18 DONOR 3 (brown) 16 B 14 12 10 120 80 120 80 120 80

L-Carnosine BLUE LIGHT [J/cm²]

0.2%

2.2%

indicate the standard error of mean (SEM). * Significantly different from 80 J/cm² irradiated control, A significantly different from 120 J/cm²-irradiated control (Tukey's test, p<0.05).

L-Carnosine reduces the number of Melan-A positive cells

- ➢ In intermediate skin (donor 2), L-Carnosine 2.2% significantly reduced Melan-A positive cells both after 80 J/cm² (- 87%) and 120 J/cm² (-71%) of **BL** irradiation.
- In tanned skin (donor 3) exposed to 120 J/cm² of BL, L-Carnosine at 0.2% and 2.2% significantly reduced the amount of Melan-A positive cells by -90% and -87%, respectively.

Fig. 2. Decrease of Melan-A positive cells in L-Carnosine treated samples. Melan-A protein level was assessed by immunohistochemical staining. A) Representative images. B) Graph showing Melan-A level (mean value). Error bars indicate the standard error of mean (SEM). * Significantly different from 80 J/cm²-irradiated control, A significantly different from 120 J/cm²-irradiated control (Tukey's test, p<0.05).

Conclusions:

Vehicle

Β

 \succ Blue light exerts a pro-oxidative effect on the skin and leads to an increase in Melan-A-positive cells.

> Our ex vivo human skin model is a valuable system to asses the consequences of blue light radiation and the capacity of applied compounds to counteract them.

L-Carnosine represents an effective and reliable solution to mitigate the adverse effects of BL radiation on the skin reducing both ROS levels and Melan-A positive cells.



1. Black, H.S., deGruijl, F.R., Forbes, P.D., Cleaver, J.E., Ananthaswamy, H.N., deFabo, E.C., Ullrich, S.E., and Tyrrell, R.M. (1997) Photocarcinogenesis: an overview. J Photochem Photobiology B Biology, 40 (1), 29–47. 2. Slominski, A., and Pawelek, J. (1998) Animals under the sun: effects of ultraviolet radiation on mammalian skin. Clin Dermatol, 16 (4), 503–515. 3. Yaar, M., and Gilchrest, B.A. (2007) Photoageing: mechanism, prevention and therapy. Brit J Dermatol, 157 (5), 874–887. 4. Opländer, C., Hidding, S., Werners, F.B., Born, M., Pallua, N., and Suschek, C.V. (2011) Effects of blue light irradiation on human dermal fibroblasts. J Photochem Photobiology B Biology, 103 (2), 118–125. 5. Vandersee, S., Beyer, M., Lademann, J., and Darvin, M.E. (2015) Blue-Violet Light Irradiation Dose Dependently Decreases Carotenoids in Human Skin, Which Indicates the Generation of Free Radicals. Oxid Med Cell Longev, 2015, 1–7. 6. Kleinpenning, M.M., Smits, T., Frunt, M.H.A., Erp, P.E.J.V., Kerkhof, P.C.M.V.D., and Gerritsen, R.M.J.P. (2010) Clinical and histological effects of blue light on normal skin. Photodermatol Photoimmunol Photomed, 26 (1), 16–21. 7. Coats, J.G., Maktabi, B., Abou-Dahech, M.S., and Baki, G. (2021) Blue light protection, part II—Ingredients and performance testing methods. J Cosmet Dermatol-us, 20 (3), 718–723.